

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-10806 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.05.2022

Amit Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate with
Mr. APS Madaan, Advocate and
Mr. Harkirat S. Jagdev, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India
with Ms. Shweta Nahata, Central Government Counsel
for respondent No.1.

Mr. G.S. Anand, Advocate for respondent No.2.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (Oral)

Annexure A and B filed on behalf of respondent No.2 is
taken on record.

Heard, counsel for both the parties.

In this writ petition, the petitioner has sought certain
directions for quashing of letter dt. 05.04.2022 (Annexure P-11), issued
by respondent No.2-Bank. In that proceedings, there is a reference to the
loan account of M/s OSIL Exports Ltd., of which the petitioner's parents
are guarantors being declared as a “fraud” on 20.11.2019, and declaration

of the borrowers and guarantors as “willful defaulters” on 20.12.2019.

It is now stated by counsel for respondent No.2-Bank, as per the memo dt. 26.05.2022 filed on behalf of respondent No.2 and the annexures thereto, that neither of these orders are directed against the petitioner. He categorically asserts that as per the official record, there is no communication to the petitioner regarding he being a “willful defaulter” or being declared as a “fraud”.

Therefore, the cause in the writ petition no longer survives for adjudication, and the writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE

27.05.2022
sumit.k

(H.S. MADAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No