

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21417-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.09.2022**

Hari Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.32 dated 17.01.2022, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Hisar, District Hisar, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 and 120-B IPC and 201 IPC (added later on).

Affidavit dated 03.09.2022 of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Detective, Hisar, submitted by the learned State counsel in the Court is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the petitioner was not named in the FIR; that except his disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the present case; that only allegation against the petitioner is that he has accompanied the co-accused, who forged the Aadhar card of other person; that nothing is to be recovered from petitioner; that challan has already been presented and that the petitioner has

been in custody since 22.02.2022 and that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, while vehemently opposing the prayer for bail, submits that in his disclosure statement, the petitioner has admitted that he is a member of the gang, who files fake Aadhar cards in the Courts in lieu of the sureties and co-accused Frank Joseph is still absconding and the warrants of arrest for 13.10.2022 has been issued against him. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner. The challan stands presented.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 22.02.2022. The only allegation against the petitioner is that he has accompanied the co-accused at the time of getting the photocopies of Aadhar cards of other persons and except that there is no specific allegation against him. The challan has been presented. Moreover, there is no other case against the petitioner. The conclusion of the trial would take time. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

07.09.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No