

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRWP-4707-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 17.05.2022**

ROHIT AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Ashok K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional AG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

The petitioners namely Rohit and Muskan have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their life and personal liberty from private respondents Nos.4 to 11.

The petitioner No.1 aged 23 years and petitioner No.2 aged 17 years and 05 months have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 10.12.2021 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents Nos.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional AG Haryana has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents Nos.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 12.05.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Rohtak.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 12.05.2022 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

May 17, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>