

[143] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1057 of 2022(O&M)

Date of Decision :29.11.2022

Sanjeev Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

Surmeet Jarangal, Director, Hospitality Department,
Civil Secretariat Punjab, Sector 1, Chandigarh

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 01.11.2021, in CWP No.16214 of 2021 in case titled as 'Sanjeev Kumar and others versus State of Punjab and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.16214 of 2021 was disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider and decide legal notice dated 09.07.2021 in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the claim of the petitioners as contained in legal notice dated 09.07.2021 having been rejected by the respondent vide order dated 05.07.2022, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioners to challenge order dated 05.07.2022 by way of

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.11.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No