

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 18605 of 2021
Date of decision: 30.03.2022**

Sukhdev Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to frame guidelines/criteria for appointment to the post of Professor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondents had issued an advertisement in the year 2016 and proper criteria was not fixed. The petitioner is having an excellent academic record, but he was given low marks in the interview. The interview ought to have been video recorded, but that was not done.

Heard.

The petitioner is stated to have applied for the post of Assistant Professor in pursuance to advertisement No. 3/16 (Annexure P3) issued by the respondents. The petitioner was interviewed on 27.04.2017 and the selection has been finalized. The petitioner is questioning the criteria adopted by the respondents in the interview after four years. He had participated in the interview and did not raise any objection at that time. Therefore, this petition preferred after four years cannot be entertained.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

30.03.2022

Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No