

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10621-2022

Date of Decision: 18.05.2022

Manju Rani

....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Prince Goyal, Advocate with
Mr. A.K. Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat, Village Saiyad Wala. She has submitted a complaint to respondent No. 2 to take action against respondents No. 5 to 8 for being regularly absent from Gram Panchayat meetings. But no action is being taken and, thus, legal notice dated 22.04.2022 (Annexure P-6) has been submitted, yet no action has been taken.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Arun K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf the respondents-State and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the pending legal notice dated 22.04.2022 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Meanwhile, Administrator be not appointed as allegedly steps are being taken to appoint an Administrator on account of non-execution of development works.

18.05.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No