

**142 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-398-2022
DECIDED ON: 17th MAY, 2022**

MANISA DEVI AND ANOTHER

.....APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.**

Present: Mr. Sahil Parmar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. SPS Tinna, Addl. AG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J (ORAL)

Challenge in this appeal is to the order dated 11th May, 2022 passed by learned Single Judge in CRWP No. 4492-2022 whereby, criminal writ petition preferred by the appellants seeking protection of their lives and liberty stands dismissed.

Counsel for the appellants submits that as the appellants belong to different castes, their family members especially private respondents are against their marriage and had threatened them. It has further been asserted that the threats were given to the appellant No.1 that she would be forcibly married to some other person which she did not want. It is under these circumstances that they had approached the Court for redressal of their grievance.

Counsel further contends that the said aspect although has been noted but has not been found to be satisfactory by learned Single Judge for granting the relief as prayed for in the writ petition.

He further states that still appellants have received certain threats and they are moving appropriate application to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) highlighting the said aspect.

Having considered the submissions made by the Counsel for the appellants, we are not inclined to accept the contentions of the counsel for the appellants with regard to any error in the order passed by learned Single Judge, which has been impugned.

However, keeping in view the fact that in case there is genuine apprehension at the hands of the private respondents or some such like occasion/situation if arises, the application/complaint submitted by the appellants to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) shall be looked into and appropriate steps be taken in accordance with law to ensure that the life and liberty of the appellants is not jeopardized except in accordance with law..

The appeal stands disposed of in the above-said terms.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

17th MAY, 2022
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable	Yes/No