

COCp-1025-2022

Date of Decision :01.06.2022

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Partap, IAS and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vishal Gaur, Advocate for  
Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 20.11.2019 in CWP No. 27734 of 2019 in case titled as Lakhwinder Singh vs. State of Punjab and another.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.27734 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to objectively consider representation dated 25.03.2019 and also by keeping in view the contentions raised in the writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and pass a speaking order in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned AAG has produced copy of speaking order dated 31.01.2020 passed by respondent No.2 holding that the grievance, as per representation of the petitioner was unfounded as the petitioner had

rightly been promoted from the post of Beldar to the post of Road Inspector and thereafter from the post of Road Inspector to the post of Junior Engineer (Civil) as per rules. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner who states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 31.01.2020 by way appropriate proceedings in accordance with law. Learned AAG further states that copy of order dated 31.01.2020 has already been supplied to the petitioner as is evident from the endorsement.

[4] Be that as it may, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)  
Judge

01.06.2022  
'Amit'

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable         | : | Yes/No |