

CWP-10641-2022

Date of Decision: 18.05.2022

Sukhwinder Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Ms. Vaishali Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court because he has been ordered to be evicted in proceedings initiated under the Haryana Public Premises and Land (Eviction & Recovery) Act, 1972. Appeal against the order of eviction has also been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner had purchased the land from one Om Pal by way of registered sale deed dated 18.06.2010 and that the revenue record mentions that apart from *johar* a plot is in existence. The petitioner had purchased a part of the plot and, thus, the order of eviction is illegal.

The argument is misconceived. A perusal of the revenue record show that the owner of the land is Municipal Council, Ladwa. The plot is part of this land and, thus, even though the land in dispute may not be part of the *johar*, it is still owned by the Municipal Council and, thus, the petitioner is in unauthorized possession of public property. Thus, the impugned orders can not be faulted.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

18.05.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE