

225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4850-2022

Date of Decision: 10th November, 2022

Rohit Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Nagesh Paul, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pankaj Khullar, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to respondents No. 2 to 5 to protect of life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of private respondents No. 6 to 10 (arrayed in the petition).
2. The grievance raised is that representation dated 13th May, 2022 was not being considered.
3. Learned State counsel has filed affidavit of Mr. Dayama Harish Kumar Omparkash, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana, dated 4th November, 2022.
4. The relevant para of the reply is quoted below:-

“4. That both the parties have produced the documents in the shape of bills and also the oral evidence. The inquiry officer has investigated both the applications jointly and has reached to the conclusion that matter relates to dealing of money between both the parties and there is a dispute of the trade of cloth and dispute of the dealing of the vehicles, so that the petitioner is directed to seek the relief from the Hon'ble Court as the matter does not fall within the jurisdiction of police department. The copy of the conclusion and recommendation made by Amandeep Singh, PPS,

Superintendent of Police (I), Khanna dated 9th June, 2022 is attached as Annexure R-1/T.”

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner after going through the affidavit, seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.
6. In view of the affidavit filed, no further directions are called for. The petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

10th November, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No