

**262 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRWP-4604-2022
Date of Decision: 13th July, 2022**

Varinderpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : None for the petitioners.
Mr. P.S. Paul, Additional PP, U.T. Chandigarh.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to take action on the application dated 6th July, 2020.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners are husband and wife. It was pleaded that parents of petitioner No. 2 (arrayed as respondents No.4 and 5) were harassing petitioner No.1.

Learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh appearing on advance notice submits that statement of respondent No.4 who is father of petitioner No.2 was recorded, wherein he has stated that petitioner no.1 is residing in Canada and petitioner No.2 is working in Merchant Navy and there are cordial relations between petitioners and respondent Nos.4 and 5.

In spite of two pass overs, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

In case there is any factual discrepancy in statement made by learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh, petitioners would be at liberty to move an application for recalling of this order.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

13th July, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No