

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.139

CWP No.10760 of 2022

Date of Decision: 19.05.2022

Gurwinder Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the husband of the petitioner was compulsorily retired by the respondent, against which order the petitioner filed an appeal, which was also dismissed, after which the husband of the petitioner had filed a revision petition before Financial Commissioner, Punjab.

Learned counsel submits that during the pendency of the said revision petition, the husband of the petitioner died, but still the revision petition filed by the late husband of the petitioner challenging his compulsory retirement has not been decided. Learned counsel submits that the only prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to decide the revision petition filed by the late husband of the petitioner against the order of his compulsory retirement.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and assures that appropriate order on the revision petition filed by the late husband of the petitioner

challenging his compulsory retirement, will be passed, if not already passed, within a period of 3 months of the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, no further grievance survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

19.05.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No