

288

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18842-2019

Date of decision : 30.08.2022

Randeep Kumar @ Deepa and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.53 dated 01.06.2018 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

On 02.08.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.53 dated 01.06.2018 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that all the persons concerned are party to the compromise and has further submitted that the parties may kindly be directed to appear before the trial Court in order to get their statements recorded with respect to compromise effected between them.

Adjourned to 30.08.2022.

The parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements qua compromise within a period of 15 days.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused.*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender?*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not?*
- 5. The trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer as to how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR.”*

In pursuance of the abovesaid order, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kapurthala. The relevant portion of the said report is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“3. It is clear from the statements of the complainant Mohpash and accused Randeep Kumar and Kuldeep Kumar as well as after their examination in the Court, that they have entered into compromise and solved their differences, without any undue influence, coercion and threat. As such, in my opinion, the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily and without any coercion and undue influence.

4. *As per the statements of the accused and Investigating Officer, no other proceedings are pending against accused Randeep Kumar and Kuldeep Kumar.*

5. *Statements of complainant Mohpash as well as accused Randeep Kumar and Kuldeep Kumar have been recorded by the undersigned and as per statement of the Investigating Officer there is only one complainant/victim in the present FIR.*

The report is accordingly submitted please.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

Sd/- (Supreet Kaur)

Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Kapurthala”

A perusal of the said report would show that the compromise has been found to be genuine, without any pressure or undue influence. It has been stated that the statements of the complainant as well as the accused have been recorded in the case and both have stated that the matter has been compromised and they have no objection in case the FIR is quashed. It is further stated that the statement of the complainant has been made voluntarily without any fear, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners were not declared proclaimed offender in the present case and there are no other cases pending against the petitioners. Learned counsel for the State, as per instructions has stated that these facts are correct.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has again reiterated that the matter has been settled and the said compromise is in the interest of all the persons and would help in bringing out peace and amity between the

two parties.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file. After perusing the report submitted by the trial Court, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and the complainant. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in “**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab**”, reported as **2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, reported as **2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543**, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding

the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court. XXX---XXX”

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, the petition is allowed and FIR No.53 dated 01.06.2018 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise, are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid judgment.

30.08.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No