

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CRM-M-22021-2022

Date of Decision: July 04, 2022

Ashish Kumar Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.44 dated 18.02.2022, registered at Police Station Rajendra Park, District Gurugram under Section 306, 34 IPC on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 submits that he has no objection in case FIR and all subsequent proceedings are quashed on the basis of compromise.

However, learned State counsel strongly opposed the quashing on the ground that FIR under section 306 shall not be quashed.

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she would be satisfied, in case directions are issued to learned trial Court to expedite the trial.

The prayer is not opposed.

Given above, the petition is disposed of by requesting the learned trial Court to expedite the trial and concluded the same during this year positively.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

July 04, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No