

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23260-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.04.2022

Lovejeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 73 dated 29.03.2021 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines Amritsar, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the main allegations are against Satnam Singh-father of the petitioner; that the petitioner has no role to play in the alleged crime; that the alleged registered GPA and the sale deed dated 29.11.2019 were got executed by the father of the petitioner in his favour and that when the petitioner came to know about the alleged crime, he had immediately filed a civil suit for cancellation of the said sale deed.

Learned State counsel assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant, submits that the petitioner is none other than the son of Satnam Singh, in whose favour the registered GPA was executed on 04.09.2018 and on the basis thereof, Satnam Singh executed the sale deed in favour of the petitioner on 29.11.2019. It is further submitted that in the present case, co-accused Darshan Kaur and Lakhwinder Kaur, had impersonated the original owners.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the paper-book.

Before registration of the FIR, a detailed enquiry was conducted by the Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, City-II, Amritsar, in the complaint submitted by the complainant and it was found that a forged power of attorney/registered GPA of Darshan Kaur and Lakhwinder Kaur was prepared by mentioning their names as Darshan Kaur @ Sukhdarshan Kaur and Lakhwinder Kaur @ Lakhbir Kaur, by Satnam Singh-father of the petitioner, thereafter, a sale deed was executed in favour of the petitioner on the basis of the said forged power of attorney/registered GPA.

Considering the very nature of the allegations, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is a must. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

20.04.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No