

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CRM-M-22131-2022
Date of Decision: 20.05.2022

Gurmeet Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Savita Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

	Criminal Complaint No.	Dated	Title	Sections
Before trial Court	2183	11.09.2015	M/s Mahindra & Mahindra Financial Services Limited Versus Ramandeep Kaur	138/142 of NI Act
Before Appellate Court	CRA-562-2016 titled as Ramandeep Kaur Versus M/s Mahindra & Mahindra Financial Services Limited			

1. The petitioner, a lady aged 60 years, and claims to work as a laborer, and had stood as a surety, has come up before this court seeking the quashing of order of issuance of non-bailable warrants against her for being unable to produce the accused before the court, on his default in an appearance before the trial court.
2. Without adjudicating the maintainability of this petition under section 482 CrPC, and leaving that question open; given the explanation offered by the petitioner is allowed to the extent mentioned in this order and with the following conditions.
3. There shall be a stay of the impugned order dated 17.02.2022, passed by Ld. ASJ, Karnal, qua the petitioner for 30 days from today, subject to the petitioner appearing before the concerned court to offer explanation to the notice under section 446 CrPC.
4. **This order is subject to the petitioner appearing before the concerned court with in thirty days from today.**

5. Any Advocate for the petitioner and the Officer in whose presence the petitioner puts signatures on personal bonds shall explain all conditions of this bail order in any language that the petitioner understands.
6. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the merits of the case nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.
7. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.*

Petition allowed to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

20.05.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.