

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-21236 of 2022

Date of Decision: 04.08.2022

Lovepreet Singh @ Lovejot

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed seeking grant of regular bail in case FIR No.328 dated 28.12.2021, registered under Sections 379-B(2) and 34 of IPC at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the only allegation alleged against the petitioner is that the stolen car was recovered from him, hence, the petitioner, who is behind bars for the last more than 7 ½ months, may kindly be extended the concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer submits that there was an identification parade conducted, wherein the complainant had identified the petitioner as one of the accused. Learned counsel submits that keeping in view the said fact coupled with the fact that the stolen car was also recovered from the petitioner and there are allegations of inflicting injuries upon the complainant and also that the charges are yet to be framed, the prayer of the petitioner may kindly be declined.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed at this stage but the direction be given to the trial Court to take up the challan for consideration as early as possible and in case, the charges are framed, the complainant be examined as the first witness.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been not pressed at this stage. However, learned trial Court is directed to take up the challan for consideration for passing appropriate order on the next date of hearing before the trial Court and in case, the Court, after due application of mind, decides to frame charges against the petitioner, the complainant be examined as first witness within a period of two months from the framing of the charges.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

04.08.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No