

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(109)

CRWP No. 4656 of 2022
Date of Decision: 17.5.2022

Antima and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Rakesh Noniwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-5.

3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-5, through a speaking order.

4. However, the afore order is subject to the condition that, upon the investigating officer, discovering credible evidence, with respect to the age of the co-petitioner Antima, and, in case from the birth certificate concerned, it is clear that she is below 18 years, thereupon, upon, a

complaint being filed by the father of the afore, it is open for the

investigating officer concerned, to draw an appropriate action, against the accused, but in accordance with law. Nonetheless, he may not arrest him without issuing seven days notice upon him.

5. Petition is disposed of.

6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

May 17, 2022

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No