

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4636 of 2022

Date of Decision: 16.05.2022

Chetna and Anr.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and Ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Araun Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, APP, UT, Chd.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 6.

It has been averred that the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 06.09.2001 while date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 19.07.1999. Copies of Aadhar Cards of petitioner Nos.1 & 2 to this effect are attached as Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It has been submitted that the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 12.05.2022. The marriage Certificate is attached as Annexure P-3. Private respondent Nos.4 to 6 are opposed to the marriage, as the petitioners had performed the marriage without their consent. The petitioners have submitted representation dated 12.05.2022 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondent.

Sh. Anupam Bansal, Addl.P.P, UT, Chandigarh states that statements of respondent No.4 (father), respondent No.5 (uncle) and respondent No.6 (grand-mother) of petitioner No.1 have been separately recorded. All of them have stated that they have no objection to the marriage of the couple and that there is no danger to their lives from them. Copies of statements filed in Court today are taken on record.

In view thereof, no further direction is required to be issued.

Disposed of as such.

May 16, 2022
manoj

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No