

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(125)

CWP-10686-2022

Date of Decision : May 19, 2022

Dr. Naipal Singh Verma and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar
and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manu K. Bhandari, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that in the present case, the question of law with regard to the grant of weightage under clause 3.2 of the Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University statute has already been interpreted by this Court while passing order in ***CWP No.2474 of 2012 titled as Dr. Mam Chand Goel versus State of Haryana and others***, which judgment is not being implemented on the similarly situated employees despite the fact that as per the settled principle of law settled by the Division Bench of this Court in ***CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 21.03.2002***, once the question of law is settled, the same needs to be implemented qua all the similarly situated employees.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners

have raised a grievance for the non grant of additional weightage by

submitting a representation dated 14.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) but the respondents submitted reply that the matter is pending with the Government. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that after the said reply, three years have passed but the petitioners have not been extended the relief, which has already been extended to the similarly situated persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that after communication dated 03.05.2019 (Annexure P-6), the petitioners have again filed representations dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-7) and 02.11.2021 (Annexure P-8) respectively, which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.1- University to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the representations dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-7) and 02.11.2021 (Annexure P-8) respectively, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-University to decide the representations dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-7) and 02.11.2021 (Annexure P-8) respectively within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

May 19, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No