

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-20860-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2022

PARMINDER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.180 dated 02.10.2021 under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

On 16.05.2022 this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on account of a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; there is no medical evidence to support the allegations of beating showing falsity in the same; the petitioner has 10 years of service in the Indian Army; the allegations of demand of dowry etc. are absolutely vague and unsubstantiated; the petitioner is ready to return all admitted articles of Istridhan which may be lying with him and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

*Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*

For arguments, adjourned to 29.09.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.180, dated 02.10.2021, registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer”.

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 16.05.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

September 29, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>