

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20884 of 2022(O&M)

DECIDED ON: 20th May, 2022

Darshpreet Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Vipul Sherwal, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate for complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in case of DDR No. 12 dated 3.9.2019 (cross case) under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC (Section 326 added lateron) arising out of FIR No. 187 dated 2.9.2019 registered under Section 323, 324, 341, 379, 506, 294, 34 IPC, 1960, registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, Ludhiana (Rural), District Ludhiana (Punjab).

There is a version and cross-version of the incident that occurred on 30.8.2019. Injuries were inflicted by both the parties. The role attributed to the petitioner is that he gave a *gandasi* blow on the head of Davinder Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injury attributed to the petitioner was declared simple. The petitioner is in custody since 28.3.2022. No further recovery is to be made. He relies upon the fact that accused in the FIR were granted anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurdeep Singh opposes the bail, however, is not disputing the fact that the injury

attributed to the petitioner has been declared simple.

Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate, appears for complainant though not impleaded as a party and opposes the prayer and submits that the injury was inflicted on vital part of the body.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, having conspectus of the fact that there is version and cross-version of the incident, the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple, he has no criminal antecedents and that the conclusion of trial is likely to take time, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

20th May, 2022

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*