

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 202)

CRM-M No.21070 of 2022

Date of decision : 19.09.2022

Hardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0077 dated 09.04.2022, registered under registered under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC at Police Station Women, district Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

On 17.05.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on account of matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the petitioner and the complainant got married in March 2020 and in August 2020 the complainant left the matrimonial home of her own; thereafter she filed a complaint with the police which resulted in a compromise; the petitioner then filed divorce petition and as a counter blast the present FIR has been got lodged against the petitioner; the petitioner is ready and willing to return all articles of

Istridhan which are lying with him and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 19.09.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.77, dated 09.04.2022, registered under Sections 498A, 406 IPC at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 17.05.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

19.09.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No