

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.902 of 2008 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.09.2022

Jai Pal

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Harkirat, Advocate, appearing for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, as per the instructions from learned arguing counsel, seeks permission to withdraw the instant revision petition, while submitting that the petitioner has passed away.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

September 28, 2022

Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No