

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.22944 of 2021
Date of Decision: 26.08.2022**

Ashok Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioners herein have sought the relief of pre-arrest bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.21 dated 27.01.2021 registered at Police Station City Safidon, District Jind, under Sections 406 and 506 IPC.

2. Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Special Task Force, Sonipat Unit, apprises the Court that though the petitioners joined in the investigation on 30.01.2022 and 09.05.2022 but they did not co-operate with the Investigating Agency and on 08.08.2022, they did not join in the investigation at all. She also points out that in Para No.9 of the Status-report submitted on behalf of the respondent-State, by way of the affidavit of the afore-said Officer, it has categorically been deposed that the

petitioners had joined in the investigation on 12.07.2021, 15.07.2021, 26.07.2021 and 08.09.2021, in compliance of the order as passed by this Court but they had not co-operated in the investigation.

3. A perusal of the file reveals that while extending the relief of interim bail to the petitioners on 11.06.2021, the Co-ordinate Bench had directed them to join in the investigation. However, in view of the above-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel and the contents of Para No.9 of the Status-report, it becomes crystal clear that the petitioners no more remain entitled to the relief of pre-arrest bail. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed accordingly.

26.08.2022
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*