

301

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20983-2022

Date of decision : 30.08.2022

Geetanjali and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh Chawla, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is second petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.18 dated 02.06.2021 registered under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station GRP Jalandhar, District Government Railway Police and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

On 27.07.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“A perusal of the report would show that although, the petitioners and respondent No. 2 had appeared but respondents No. 3 to 6 have not appeared before the trial Court for recording their statements.

Learned counsel for the parties prays for one more opportunity so as to enable all the parties to appear before

the trial Court for recording their statements in support of the compromise.

Adjourned to 30.08.2022

The parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements qua compromise within a period of 15 days.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused.*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender?*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not?*
- 5. The trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer as to how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR. ”*

In pursuance of the abovesaid order, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar. The relevant portion of the said report is reproduced hereinbelow:-

- “1. It is respectfully submitted that as per the statement of IO, there are only two accused namely Geetanjali and Varun arrayed in the present FIR.*
- 2. It is respectfully submitted that as per statement of IO, all the accused in the present FIR are not proclaimed offender.*
- 3. It is respectfully submitted that from the statements of the parties, it seems that compromise effected between them is genuine one and is voluntary in nature, without any pressure, out of free will, duress or any undue influence.*
- 4. It is respectfully submitted that as per the statement of*

IO, No other proceeding is pending against both the accused.

5. It is respectfully submitted that statement of Investigating Officer has been recorded and as per his statement, there is only one complainant namely Priya Dev and four other victims namely Reena Chawla, Sunny chawla, Mani Sinha, Richa Dev in the present FIR.

Hence, my report as desired by the Hon'ble High Court regarding the genuineness of the compromise effected between the parties.

Submitted please.

Yours faithfully.

*Sd/- (Mandeep Kaur)PCS,
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Jalandhar”*

A perusal of the said report would show that the compromise has been found to be genuine, without any pressure or undue influence. It has been stated that the statements of the complainant as well as the accused have been recorded in the case and both have stated that the matter has been compromised and they have no objection in case the FIR is quashed. It is further stated that the statement of the complainant has been made voluntarily without any fear, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners were not declared proclaimed offender in the present case and there are no other cases pending against the petitioners. Learned counsel for the State, as per instructions has stated that these facts are correct.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file. After perusing the report submitted by the trial Court, this

Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioners and the complainant. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in “**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab**”, reported as **2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, reported as **2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543**, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent

abuse of the process of any Court. XXX---XXX”

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, the petition is allowed and FIR No.18 dated 02.06.2021 registered under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station GRP Jalandhar, District Government Railway Police and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise, are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid judgment.

30.08.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**