

112.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11683-2022

Date of Decision: 27.05.2022

SURESH CHAND

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation filed by the petitioner against the adverse remarks for the period from 23.09.2020 to 31.01.2021. Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the said representation is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and would submit that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide representation Annexure P-11 of the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

27.05.2022

sanjeev

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No