

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**201**

**CRM-M-22959-2021**

Date of Decision : **February 09, 2022**

**TIKKA SINGH**

.....Petitioner

***VERSUS***

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

**Through Video Conferencing**

Present : Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)**

At the outset, learned State counsel on instruction from ASI Gurdev Singh states that in pursuance to the order passed by this Court on 11.6.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation and thereafter even the challan has been presented before the learned trial Court. He has further submitted that in view of the aforesaid factual position, the petitioner is not required for custodial investigation.

In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel that the petitioner is not required for any custodial investigation and the present case pertains to anticipatory bail, therefore, the bar contained in Section 37 of NDPS Act will not be applicable to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition is allowed and order dated 11.6.2021 is, hereby, made absolute.

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 09, 2022**

ajay-1

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |