

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

201

CRM-M-37643-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: September 08, 2022

CHARANJIT KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms.Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

None for respondent No.4.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 to 4.

The respondents No.2 to 4 are accused in FIR under Section 306 IPC wherein it is alleged that due to harassment by the private respondents, the husband of the petitioner had committed suicide.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that the husband of the complainant had purchased Buffalo from respondents No.2 to 4 but he had not made the payment. The matter had been settled and later it is alleged that the private respondents had again started threatening the husband of the petitioner while demanding their money back from him.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Nirmal Singh submits that 02 out of 17 prosecution witnesses have been examined. She upon instructions also submits that a representation has been received from the

petitioner that she was being harassed and threatened in the year 2018, a DDR was registered. Thereafter for the last four years, no untoward incident was reported by the petitioner.

Consequently, I do not intend to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 to 4.

The petition stands dismissed.

It is, however, made clear that in case respondents No.2 to 4 threaten or harass the complainant/petitioner in any manner, the concession of anticipatory bail would be withdrawn.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 08, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No