

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CWP No.10496 of 2022

Date of decision: 15.11.2022

Jaspal Kaur

....Petitioner

V/s

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG Punjab
for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has invoked jurisdiction by preferring the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to issue passport to the petitioner.

On 17.10.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the State and respondent Nos.1 to 3 jointly submit that the petitioner has been called to the passport office today and his grievance is most likely to be addressed in view of the recent status report sent by the police authorities.

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of short affidavit of Yogesh Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Dhuri, District Sangrur, on behalf of respondent No.4. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsels for the petitioner and respondent Nos.1 to 3.

It is pointed out that in the FIR in question, cancellation report has been filed and it has been averred in para 5 of the short reply that the police has no objection in issuing passport to the petitioner (Jaspal Kaur).

On joint request, adjourned to 15.11.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to para No.5 of the affidavit dated 17.10.2022, filed on behalf of respondent Nos.4 and 5, wherein it is categorically averred that the answering respondents have no objection in issuing the passport of Jaspal Kaur (petitioner). It is further submitted that the petitioner had appeared before the Regional Passport Officer and she has been told that the discreet inquiry in the matter is complete and only address verification remains, which is underway.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3 affirms the aforementioned position and further submits that on receipt of positive address verification, passport would be issued to the petitioner.

In view of the above noticed submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek revival, in case any cause of action still survives.

The prayer of the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsels appearing for the respondents.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

November 15, 2022
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No