

126.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10400-2022**

Date of Decision: 16.05.2022

KHEM RAJ

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

----

**JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)**

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to any post in Police Department.

Briefly stated that in the year 2010, the petitioner's father, who at the relevant time was serving CRPF, had helped the police in exposing the terrorist activities in District Pathankot. He was awarded with bravery certificate as well. It is submitted that other civilian members who also participated in exposing the dare act of terrorists, have been given due employment either in the police department or in the civilian department. However, the petitioner's father could not avail that opportunity as his son, the present petitioner, was minor at the relevant time. Now, the petitioner has become major and seeks employment in the police department. In this regard, a representation has already been addressed to Director General of Police, Punjab i.e. respondent No.3 by the petitioner's father for employment

of the petitioner in the police department, whereby no action has been taken till date.

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the representation dated 06.01.2020 (Annexure P-5) is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Anju Arora, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide representation dated 06.01.2020 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

**16.05.2022**

sanjeev

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No