

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

317

CRM-M-37459-2016

Date of Decision:04.08.2022

Harvinder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Veneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rupinder Singh,
submits that the charges have been framed.

Perusal of the file reveals that the present petition has been filed for
quashing of the FIR and the charges have not been challenged.

Needless to say that simply seeking quashing of the FIR without
challenging the framing of charges, is not legally permissible.

Given above, present petition is dismissed with liberty to challenge
the charges. It is clarified that the time spent during pendency of the present
petition be considered sympathetically while condoning the delay in challenging
the charges, if any.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

04.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No