

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20562 of 2022(O&M)**

**DECIDED ON:19<sup>th</sup> May, 2022**

**Amarjit Singh @ Vicky**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**State of Punjab**

**.....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.**

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)**

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.137 dated 27.8.2021, under Section 304, 34 IPC 1860, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

The brief facts of the case are that complainant-Ram Singh stated that his son Jaspreet Singh (deceased) on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2021, went to his duty but he did not return. He found his dead body in an abandoned plot near Ajit Palace, Kapurthala. There was a doubt that the friends of the deceased killed him by giving overdose of intoxicant. Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukhu was nominated on the basis of supplementary statement made by the complainant on 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2021. Petitioner was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2021, investigation is complete and no recovery is to be made from him. He relies upon the fact that the cause of death was overdose of *Heroin*. It is further argued that there is no evidence to connect the petitioner with the death of the deceased. Co-accused was granted bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer and submits that a youth lost his life. He Further submits that the deceased was injected in right forearm. He on instructions submits that the petitioner is involved in another FIR.

The evidentiary value of the confessional statement would be subject matter of the trial. The petitioner was named in a disclosure statement. The deceased died due to overdose of *Heroin*. Co-accused was granted bail by this Court. Though the investigation is complete conclusion of trial is likely to take time, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

19<sup>th</sup> May, 2022

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE

|                                  |            |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>No</i>  |