

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(209)

CRM-M-20553-2022

Date of decision: - 22.09.2022

Gunjan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Harkirat Singh Bhogal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is the first petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.52 dated 30.03.2022, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

On 13.05.2022, the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

- “1. Notice of motion.
2. Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab waives service of notice on behalf of respondent-State.
3. Reply/status report be filed within three weeks.
4. In the meantime, till further orders, in the event of the arrest of the bail petitioner, by the arresting officer, the latter shall release her, subject to hers furnishing personal and surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, and, also subject to hers rendering co-operation to him, in the

investigations to be carried into offences mentioned in the present FIR.

5. *List on 28.07.2022.*

6. *Dasti copy.*

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

13.05.2022"

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the above-said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dipankar Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 13.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

September 22, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No