

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20638-2022
Date of Decision: 19.05.2022

Harvinder @ Dhilla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandep Lather, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.93, dated 06.05.2019, registered under Sections 379-B & 34 of the IPC (Sections 392 & 397 of the IPC added later on) and Sections 25 and 54 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Barauda, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the petitioner is behind the bars, since 31.05.2019, and the complainant has already been examined. Learned counsel further argues that as out of total twenty nine witnesses, only ten witnesses have been examined so far and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, therefore, keeping in view the above, the petitioner may kindly be extended the concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel submits that in the present case, though

the complainant has already been examined, but keeping in view the nature of allegations alleged against the petitioner, his prayer for the grant of regular bail may kindly be rejected.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

It is a conceded position that in the present case, the petitioner is behind the bars for the last approximately two years and the complainant has already been examined, therefore, keeping in view the above, no useful purpose will be achieved in keeping the petitioner behind the bars any further as out of total twenty nine witnesses, only ten witnesses have been examined so far and the trial is likely to take some more time before it concludes. Learned counsel for the petitioner has undertaken before this Court that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of regular bail, he will maintain good conduct and will not influence the trial or any witnesses in any manner, hence without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, if not liable to be retained behind the bars in any other case, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

19.05.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No