

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

238

**CRM-M-36974-2018(O&M)
Date of decision: 25.05.2022**

NACHATAR SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Parminder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Rohit Sud, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

The instant petition had been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for seeking direction to respondent Nos.1 to 4 to take appropriate legal action against respondent No.5.

When the petition came up for hearing on 27.08.2018, notice of motion was issued to the respondent for 18.09.2018, and it was directed that in case the petitioner deposits the entire amount with the finance company within a period of 15 days, then the vehicle which has been taken away by the respondent-finance company shall be returned to the petitioner.

Ms. Parminder Kaur appearing on behalf of the respondent-finance company has submitted that in compliance of the said order, the amount then found due against the petitioner had been deposited by him and the vehicle in question was released to the petitioner. She submits that even though the petitioner has committed a fraud with respect to the subsequent installments

payable by him, however, the same shall be a subsequent cause of action and so far as the present petition and the prayer made therein is concerned, the same having been answered, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not controvert the abovesaid fact.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

May 25, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No