

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP-4580-2022
Date of Decision: 13.05.2022**

PARVEEN BALA AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Ms. Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the live-in-relationship of the petitioners, against any threats being meted to them by respondents No. 4 and 5, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 and 5.
2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.
3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.
5. Petition is disposed of.
6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

May 13, 2022
kavneet singh

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No