

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9613-2020 (O&M)**

Date of decision: 06.01.2022

Lata Aggarwal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Municipal Corporation, Yamunanagar/Jagadhri

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present:     Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate for the petitioners  
              Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for the respondent

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)**

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioners assail the correctness of the notices issued under Section 261, 262 and 263-A of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act, 1994. Some part of the petitioners' building has been sealed on the allegation that the same is beyond the permissible limits. The sealing of the building is only an interim measure. The Corporation is required to pass the final order in accordance with law.

Learned counsel representing the petitioners contends that the impugned notices have been issued without granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

Learned counsel representing the Municipal Corporation-respondent has stated that the competent authority is prepared to pass a detailed order after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the writ petition is

disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Yamunanagar/Jagadhri, to pass a speaking order, within a period of one month, after granting opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

06.01.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**  
**JUDGE**