

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRM-M-20939-2022
Date of Decision: 16.05.2022**

Sahil @ Kalu

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Amjad Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 20.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Head Quarter, Sector 9, Chandigarh, to decide the representation (P-4) himself or delegate to any other officer not below the rank of Senior Superintendent of Police, by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ***fifteen working days*** from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, liberty is reserved to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

16.05.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No