

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRM-M-21693 of 2022

Date of Decision: 25.05.2022

Vijay Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab & Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition is a second petition filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.194, dated 09.05.2021 under Sections 379-B(2), 420, 411, 171, 120 of the IPC and Section 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Patrana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 10.06.2021 and he had earlier filed a bail application before this court along with other co-accused namely Satinder @ Shinder Singh and the bail petition of the petitioner was dismissed by this court on 09.02.2022. He submitted that considering the custody of the petitioner, he may be considered for the grant of bail.

On the other hand Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab while referring to a detailed order passed by this court(Annexure P-6) submitted that the bail application of the petitioner was dismissed whereas the bail application qua the co-accused was allowed. He submitted that the

petitioner-Vijay Kumar is involved in as many as 43 other cases, although he has been convicted in 07 cases and acquitted in 20 cases and in one of the cases under section 395 and 506 IPC the petitioner has been convicted for 20 years and in another case under Section 302 of IPC the petitioner was convicted and details of the same were dealt in the earlier order passed by this court on 9.02.2022 and therefore the bail application of the petitioner was dismissed on merits. He submitted that after the dismissal of the bail application there is no change of circumstances and otherwise also in view of the antecedents of the petitioner he does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner had earlier also filed a bail application before this court and which was dismissed by way of a detailed order. The petitioner otherwise also is not entitled for the grant of bail in view of the antecedents against the petitioner which have been dealt in detail while passing the order dated 09.02.2022 by this court. There is no change of circumstance which has been shown to this court.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant only for the purpose of decision of present petition.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

25.05.2022
Sunil Devi

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No