

125.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10121-2022

Date of Decision: 12.05.2022

ROOP LAL

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the daily wage service of the petitioner rendered before his respective regularization as qualifying service and to permit him to continue in old GPF scheme.

At the very outset, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner restricts his prayer for deciding the representation dated 18.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No.2371 of 2010, decided on 31.08.2020, titled as *Harbans Lal Versus State of Punjab and others (Annexure P-6); CWP No.24472 of 2015, decided on 07.01.2016 (Annexure P-7) and CWP No.10376 of 2014, decided on 30.03.2016 (Annexure P-8)*. He would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the said representation is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Pawan Sharda, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide representation dated 18.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No.2371 of 2010, decided on 31.08.2020, titled as ***Harbans Lal Versus State of Punjab and others (Annexure P-6); CWP No.24472 of 2015, decided on 07.01.2016 (Annexure P-7) and CWP No.10376 of 2014, decided on 30.03.2016 (Annexure P-8)***, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

12.05.2022

sanjeev

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No