

Indraj

....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Karanvir hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

It is submitted that respondent No. 11 had embezzled panchayat funds and, thus, he was suspended. Appeal filed by him has been dismissed. An order of recovery was passed on 26.03.2018 but steps have not been taken to actually recover the money. The official respondents have been approached time and again but no action has been taken. Even representation dated 07.12.2021 (Annexure P-9) has failed to move them. Thus, a direction may be issued to respondent No. 3 to decide the pending representation by passing a speaking order.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 10 only.

Mr. Arun K. Kaundal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf respondents No. 1 to 10 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the pending representation dated 07.12.2021 (Annexure P-9) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

30.05.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE