

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(119)

CWP-10325-2022

Date of Decision : May 16, 2022

Sunita Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the late husband of the petitioner was initially appointed with the respondents on 20.08.2002 on contract basis and he kept on working when his services were regularized by the respondents in the year 2007 and thereafter, he unfortunately died while in service on 10.12.2009. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the pensionary benefits have not been given to the petitioner starting by calculating the qualifying service from the year 2002 onwards, which period has to be counted by the respondents while computing the benefits to be paid to the petitioner in respect of the service rendered by her late husband.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that the question of law raised in the present petition has already been answered by the Coordinate Bench of this Court while passing order in ***CWP No.24282 of 2011 titled as Jashwant Singh and others versus State of Haryana and others, decided on 29.09.2016.***

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in the present petition, the petitioner has straightaway approached this Court without raising any grievance with the respondents and in case, the petitioner approaches the respondents by filing any representation, the same will be considered in accordance with law and appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of eight weeks and in case, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefits, the same will also be released to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation claiming the said relief.

Ordered accordingly.

May 16, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No