

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.555

**CWP-12438-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 18, 2022**

Ronak Ram Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.D. Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition was filed in the year 1999, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of prohibition restraining the respondents from forcing the petitioners to file an affidavit that they would not file any suit/claim for the regularization of their services on the post of Timber Watcher.

2. Petition was admitted on 04.10.2001.

3. Vide order dated 04.03.2013, petitioners No.2 to 4 have already withdrawn writ petition qua them while petitioner No.1 was since held ineligible and therefore perhaps his claim to seek regularization on the post of Timber Watcher was not accepted.

4. When called out for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of petitioner No.1. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 22 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and/or petitioner No.1 seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to petitioner No.1 to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2022

ashish

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No