

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-20294-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2022

KARANVEER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0204 dated 28.10.2021 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Khanna City-2, Khanna.

On 12.05.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; the petitioner does not face any other criminal case; the allegations with regard to demand of dowry are false; on 14.05.2021, the petitioner's father died and thereafter, the complainant had been pressurizing the petitioner to live separately from his mother; the request of hers was not acceded to and therefore, the present FIR was got lodged; at an earlier point of time, the matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the complainant had been resolved before the Panchayat but the complainant backed out from the arrangement arrived at between the parties; there is no medical record to support the allegations of beatings and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate

with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 20.09.2022.

In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., in the event of his arrest in FIR No.0204 dated 28.10.2021 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC at Police Station Khanna City-2, District Khanna, he shall be released on ad-interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”.

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 12.05.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

September 29, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>