

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

143

CWP-10139-2022

Date of Decision :12.05.2022

Shyam Lal

..Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Financial Corporation

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dilshad Singh Gill, Advocate for respondent-Corporation.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though, the services of the petitioner were regularized by the respondent-Corporation on 01.01.2013, but the services, which the petitioner had rendered on work charge basis/adhoc basis from 20.08.1993 to 31.12.2012 has not been considered as qualifying service for computing his pensionary benefits, which is contrary to the settled principle of law settled by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kesar Chand vs. State of Punjab through The Secretary P.W.D.B & R Chandigarha and others**, and, therefore, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the petitioner benefit of adhoc/daily wage service rendered by him from 20.08.1993 to 31.12.2012 as qualifying service for computing his pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondent-corporation by

way of filing a representation dated 05.04.2022 (Annexure P/9), which is still pending consideration with the respondent and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction be issued to the respondent to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Dilshad Singh Gill, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-Corporation and states that an appropriate speaking order on the representation dated 05.04.2022 (Annexure P/9) submitted by the petitioner will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to him within a period of next four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation, no grievance of the petitioner survives in the present writ petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

May 12, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No