

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132

CWP-10077-2022

Date of Decision :12.05.2022

Charanjit Lal Arora and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioners are entitled for the grant of benefit of 3rd ACP as they have 30 years of service to their credit but, the same has not been extended to them by the respondents and that too without any valid justification. Prayer of the petitioners is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant the said benefit to the petitioners forthwith.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of serving a legal notice dated 16.12.2021 (Annexure P/14), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction be issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 16.12.2021 (Annexure P/14)) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in legal notice dated 16.12.2021 (Annexure P/14), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 16.12.2021 (Annexure P/14) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

May 12, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No