

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4510 of 2022
Date of Decision: 12.05.2022

Richa Sharma and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Akshit Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioner No.1 was earlier married with respondent No.4 and the petitioner No.2 was also married but their matrimonial relationship was not successful and thereafter the petitioner No.2 had filed a divorce petition against his wife which is still pending. He submitted that thereafter the petitioners started living with each other and now they are blessed with a girl child. He submitted that there is an imminent threat from respondent No.4 and has also prayed that the scope of the present petition may be confined to the extent of granting protection of their lives only.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3 and has stated that he has no

objection in case the representation Annexure P-3 filed by the petitioners is taken into consideration by the competent authority in accordance with the law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is a case where the petitioners are married with their respective spouses but the relationship with them turned sour and thereafter the petitioners in the present case started living with each other. It has been pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioners that now out of this live-in-relationship of the petitioners inter se, they have been blessed with a girl child and there is imminent threat from respondent No.4, who is the husband of the petitioner No.1. This Court does not wish to make any observation with regard to the inter se relation between the petitioners and also between the petitioners with their respective spouses. But this Court confines the scope of the present petition only to the extent of the protection of lives of the petitioners in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances.

Therefore, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar to consider the representation Annexure P-3 dated 06.05.2022 filed by the petitioners by way of a registered post and thereafter in case, it is so required then to ensure the protection of the petitioners strictly in accordance with law. Let the needful be done within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

May 12, 2022
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No