

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-4506-2022

Date of Decision : **May 12, 2022**

GAGANDEEP KAUR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. H.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that it is a case where the petitioner No.1-girl was earlier married to respondent No.4 but the respondent No.4 had been constantly given beatings to the petitioner No.1 with a result that she had to come out from the matrimonial home. He submitted that the petitioner No.1 had lost her parents during the childhood and she had no other place to seek shelter and she now got shelter from petitioner No.2 and she is in live-in relationship with him. He further submitted that in view of the aforesaid situation, respondent No.4 is extending threats to the petitioners and there is an apprehension that they might be killed by him. He submitted that a representation was given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran on 7.5.2022 and a courier receipt has also been attached with the petition but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents and states that he has no objection in case the representation (Annexure P-3) is considered by the competent authority in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

The scope of the present petition is confined only to the extent of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. This Court does not wish to express any observation with regard to inter-se relationship between the petitioners or any relationship with petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4. As per the argument raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioner No.1 had lost her parents during childhood and was married to respondent No.4 but she had to leave the house because of the beatings given to her and, therefore, she is now living with petitioner No.2 and has sought protection.

Therefore, this Court is of the view that the present petition can be disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation Annexure P-3 filed by the petitioners in accordance with law.

It is, therefore, directed that respondent No.2 will take into consideration the representation Annexure P-3 and in case it is so required then shall ensure that protection is granted to the petitioners strictly in accordance with law. The needful shall be done within a period of one week from the date of receipt of this order.

Disposed of.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

May 12, 2022
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No