

CRR No. 613 of 2021

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 613 of 2021

Date of Decision: 7th April, 2022

Hans Raj

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Munish Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This criminal revision is filed aggrieved of order dated 16.4.2021, rejecting the prayer for default bail of the petitioner.

The brief facts are that the petitioner was apprehended on 18.8.2020 and there was recovery of 1000 tablets of Tramadol Hydrochloride 100 mg TREDOL. Challan was presented without FSL report.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the decision of this Court in **Suresh v. State of Haryana**, CRR No. 1135 of 2020 decided on 18.11.2020.

Learned State counsel opposes the bail but is not in a position to distinguish the present case vis-a-vis the case relied upon by the petitioner. He, however, fairly submits that till date FSL report has not received.

The operative part of order in **Suresh's case (supra)** is quoted below:

*“Taking into account all the above circumstances, the criminal revision petition is allowed at this stage and the petitioner is permitted to be conditionally released on bail to the satisfaction of Ld. Trial Court concerned. It is however clarified that in case of any different result coming forth from that in the decision in **Ajit Singh alias Jeeta's** case (supra), the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation/ modification of this order, if warranted at that stage.”*

The present petition is disposed of in the same terms in CRR No. 1135 of 2020.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

7th April, 2022
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |